

AĞLARDA ÖĞRENME* В PERFORMANSIN ÖTESİ Bootstrapped Self-Supervised Representation Learning in Graphs 23 Haziran 2021

Etkinlik Zoom üzerinden gerçekleşecektir. Sunum dili: İngilizce



Sabancı

Universites









Why we are after self-supervised learning?



"For AGI we want agents to generalise significantly beyond the specific tasks that they were trained on. "



Reality check = **very limited supervision**

... but supervised learning is what ML is good at!



Mastering SSL we equip agents with stronger generalization capabilities.



Agenda for June, 22nd 2021

Questions?



SSL

- (Modern) SSL
- How BYOL works
- ResNet as encoder
- ImageNet as data





Graph Nets

Graph Nets as the

encoders for graph data



BGRL

Self-Supervised Learning on Graphs





DeepMind

Self-Supervised Learning

Computer Vision Goal





Motivation

Downstream network





How to train the encoder?

Motivation



Labelled, but costly/few data



Unlabelled, <u>free</u> data!



Motivation

Downstream network



DeepMind

BYOL

Jean-Bastien Grill, Florian Strub, Florent Altché, Corentin Tallec, Pierre H. Richemond Elena Buchatskaya, Carl Doersch, Bernardo Avila Pires, Zhaohan Daniel Guo, Mohammad Gheshlaghi Azar, Bilal Piot, Koray Kavukcuoglu, Rémi Munos, Michal Valko

Intuition: Two different views (augmentations) of the same picture should be predictive of each other.



Figure from SimCLR¹

A view of a dog is still a dog, i.e. semantic information is invariant to transformations.























BYOL Architecture



BYOL's highlights

Key ingredients:

- Image transformations.
- Target network.
- Additional predictor on top of online network.

Interest of the method:

- Simple training procedure.
- No negative examples [details 3 slides later].
- Work at the embedding level, e.g. no-pseudo labels.





DeepMind

Wait, there has been life before BYOL!

Slide contributions from Oriol Vinyals and Aaron van den Oord



Self-supervised learning

https://paperswithcode.com/sota/self-supervised-image-classification-on



- Generative vs. Predictive
- Contrastive (Positives / Negatives) [next slide]
 - Positives "corrupted" ... otherwise it's too easy
 - Negatives to rescue

Self - Supervised Learning / Contrastive Losses

Data
$$\{x\}_i \quad task_spec$$

Model

$$y \approx f_{\theta}(x)$$

$$\mathsf{Loss} \quad \mathcal{L}(\theta) = \sum_{i=1}^{N} \log \frac{\exp(f_{\theta}(aug(x_i))^T f_{\theta}(aug(x_i)))}{\sum_{x'} \exp(f_{\theta}(aug(x_i))^T f_{\theta}(aug(x')))}$$

Optimisation $\theta^* = \arg \max_{\theta} \mathcal{L}(\theta)$

NCE, Gutmann, Hyvarinen, 2010; Context Prediction, Doesrch et al, 2015; CPC, van den Oord e tal, 2018; BERT, Devlin et al, 2018; SimCLR, Chen et al, 2020

BYOL → Negatives gone!

CONCEPTUAL

- No need to define what is "not an object"
 - for some domains difficult
 - default option may be wrong

SCALABILITY

- for "not an object" we need large batches
- for some domains (graphs..) can be quadratic in sample size

ROBUSTNESS [result in the next slides]

- to augmentation
- to batch size

DeepMind

Performance of BYOL

Linear Evaluation Protocol on ImageNet

Step 1: Train a "representation" on ImageNet without any labels.

ResNet

Step 2: On top of the frozen representation, train a linear classifier on ImageNet with label information.

Linear Evaluation Performance on ImageNet

Note: these supervised baselines are from SimCLR (Chen et al., ICML 2020)

Linear Evaluation Performance on ImageNet

Note: these supervised baselines are from SimCLR (Chen & Hinton, ICML 2020)

CPCv2: van den Oord et al., Representation learning with contrastive predictive coding. 2018
AMDIM: Bachman et al., Learning representations by maximizing mutual information across views. 2019
CMC: Tian et al., Contrastive multiview coding. 2019.
MoCo: He et al., Momentum contrast for unsupervised visual representation learning. 2019
InfoMin: Tian et al., What makes for good views for contrastive learning. 2020
MoCov2: Jain et al., Improved baselines with momentum contrastive learning. 2020
SimCLR: Chen et al., A simple framework for contrastive learning of visual representations. 2020

Linear Evaluation Performance on ImageNet

Note: these supervised baselines are from SimCLR (Chen & Hinton, ICML 2020)

CPCv2: van den Oord et al., Representation learning with contrastive predictive coding. 2018
AMDIM: Bachman et al., Learning representations by maximizing mutual information across views. 2019
CMC: Tian et al., Contrastive multiview coding. 2019.
MoCo: He et al., Momentum contrast for unsupervised visual representation learning. 2019
InfoMin: Tian et al., What makes for good views for contrastive learning. 2020
MoCov2: Jain et al., Improved baselines with momentum contrastive learning. 2020
SimCLR: Chen et al., A simple framework for contrastive learning of visual representations. 2020

Further comparison with SimCLR

BYOL outperforms other self-supervised learning methods on the following benchmarks:

- Semi-supervised learning on ImageNet
- Fine-tuning on small classification datasets (such as CIFAR or Flowers)
- Transfer tasks when pretraining on Places365 instead of ImageNet

Summary: BYOL vs. Contrastive methods:

- BYOL is less sensitive to the choice of image transformations
- BYOL is more robust to smaller batch sizes

The code and checkpoints are available: <u>https://github.com/deepmind/deepmind-research</u>

Sensitivity to augmentation choice

BYOL is **predictive** rather than **contrastive** \Rightarrow lower sensitivity to transformation set.

DeepMind

Self-Supervised Learning on Graphs

Shantanu Thakoor, Corentin Tallec, Mohammad Gheshlaghi Azar, Mehdi Azabou, Eva L Dyer, Remi Munos, Petar Veličković, Michal Valko

Thanks to Petar Veličković for help with slides!

Graphs are Everywhere!

- Data with special structure:
 - Nodes = entities
 - Edges = connections between nodes
 - Graphs = collection of nodes with edges

Traffic maps are graphs!

• Transportation networks (e.g. *Google Maps*) naturally modelled as

- Nodes as intersections, edges as roads
- Many natural node/edge-level **features** in this data!
- Possible task of interest: ETA prediction

Molecules are graphs!

- A very natural way to represent molecules
 - Atoms as nodes, bonds as edges
 - Features such as **atom type**, **charge**, **bond type**...
 - Possible task predict whether molecule inhibits diseases



How to learn from graphs?





Graph Neural Networks!





Node-level representations





Graph-level representations





Edge-level representations



Graph Neural Networks

• What do we want in a neural network acting over graphs?

- Desiderata:
 - Use graph structure node/edge features, connections between nodes
 - Not sensitive to order in which node / neighbors are processed – permutation (equi/in)variant
- Starting point: let's take inspiration from image domain!





 \mathbf{K}

I * K



 \mathbf{K}

I * K



 \mathbf{K}

I * K



 \mathbf{K}

- Translational invariance
- Patterns are interesting irrespective of *location* in image

• Locality: neighbouring pixels affect more than distant

- Images are essentially graphs
 - Pixels = nodes arranged in grid connectivity pattern
 - What about **arbitrary** graphs?



Graph Convolutional Networks (GCNs)

Features of neighbours aggregated with fixed weights, c_{ii}

$$\mathbf{h}_{i} = \phi \left(\mathbf{x}_{i}, \bigoplus_{j \in \mathcal{N}_{i}} c_{ij} \psi(\mathbf{x}_{j}) \right)$$
Usually, the weights depend directly on **adjacency matrix**

ChebyNet (Defferrard *et al.*, NeurIPS'16)
GCN (Kipf & Welling, ICLR'17)
SGC (Wu *et al.*, ICML'19)

Useful for homophilous graphs and scaling up

Convolutional

When edges encode label similarity 0

0

The three "flavours" of GNN layers



Graph Representation Learning

- Goal: Learn meaningful node representations without supervision
- Why?
 - Unlabeled data cheaper
 - Pre-training for downstream tasks
 - Auxiliary signal for semi-supervised training



Early methods: Random-walk objectives

- What makes an embedding "good"?
 - Graphs carry interesting **structure**!
 - Good node representations should **preserve** it.
- Simplest notion of graph structure is an *edge*.
 - Features of nodes *i* and *j* should be predictive of existence of edge (i, j)!
 - Generalize slightly: nodes *i* and *j* co-occur in a short random walk
 - Very similar to NLP methods such as *word2vec*
- Dominated unsupervised graph representation learning prior to GNNs!
 - DeepWalk, node2vec
 - Do not scale to large graphs easily, do not work with GNN encoders



Current hot methods: Contrastive

• Contrastive methods

... but why?

- Push together similar objects (*positive examples*)
- Pull apart dissimilar objects (negative examples)



• Aim: stop contrasting dissimilar objects!



Drawbacks of Contrastive Methods

Case Study #1: Deep Graph Infomax (DGI)
 Contrast against "negative" graph



Problem #1: Hard to define negative examples

• Many datasets = *single* graph, no "other" graph



Drawbacks of Contrastive Methods

- Case Study #2: GRACE
 - Positive example = same node across views
 - Negative example = every other pair



Problem #2: All-vs-all contrastive scales quadratically

- Subsampling uniformly is bad
- Choosing smartly is hard





DeepMind

B ootstrapped GR aph L atents (BGRL)

• Bootstrap embeddings from each node = no negative examples

Bootstrap embeddings from each node = no negative examples
 Given a graph





- Bootstrap embeddings from each node = no negative examples
 - Generate 2 augmented views
 - Augmentations = transformations embeddings invariant to





- Bootstrap embeddings from each node = no negative examples
 - Two encoders: θ online, Φ target
 - Compute h₁, h₂ respectively





- Bootstrap embeddings from each node = no negative examples
 - \circ h₁ trained to be *predictive* of h₂
 - $\circ \quad \mathbf{p}_{\theta}(\mathbf{h}_{1}) = \mathbf{z}_{1}$





Bootstrap embeddings from each node = no negative examples
 z₁ pushed towards h₂





• Bootstrap embeddings from each node = no negative examples \circ Flow gradients through θ





• Bootstrap embeddings from each node = no negative examples \circ Block gradients through Φ





• Bootstrap embeddings from each node = no negative examples $\circ \Phi$ updated as EMA of θ





• Adaptation from BYOL – no projector network



- Undesirable/trivial solutions exist (e.g. $\theta = \Phi$)
 - Not obtained as (θ, Φ) update does not minimize any loss



Graph Augmentations

- Design decision, perturbations that do not change semantics
- For images, intuitive to design
 - Flipping/cropping/color distortions typically not change class
- For graphs, very unintuitive!
 - Perturb whole graph
 - But learn embeddings for *nodes*
 - It would be like augmenting an image but learning pixel-level!
- So simple, cheap augmentations done:
 - Randomly drop certain edges
 - Random node feature masking
 - Not perfect, still open area of research!





DeepMind

Experiments

Experimental Setup

- Node classification, GRACE current best
- Linear evaluation protocol



- Encoders: Graph Convolutional Networks (GCNs) BGRL predictor: MLP
- Simple augmentations, masking with *fixed* probability



Experimental Setup

• Report results relative to randomly initialized GCN

- Very strong baseline!
 - Random GCNs = good inductive bias
 - Linear classifier on top works as normal
 - Surpasses pure supervised in some cases!



Datasets

- Transductive tasks:
 - Single graph, all nodes known during training, labels only available for training nodes
 - WikiCS, Coauthor CS/Physics, ogbn-arXiv: citations networks, classify paper topic
 - Amazon Computers/Photos: co-purchase graphs, classify product type
- Inductive tasks:
 - Dataset of many graphs, train on some/test on others
 - PPI: dataset of protein-protein interactions, predict biological properties



Experimental Results

• Citations/Co-purchase graphs, O(10k) nodes \rightarrow quadratic possible



Accuracy Relative to Random Embeddings

 Not only is BGRL >= other methods, memory usage is 5–10x smaller


Scaling Up to Larger Graphs

- OGB arXiv dataset, 170k nodes
- Subsample *k* negatives per node for GRACE
 - k=2 ≈ BGRL in asymptotic memory

Accuracy Relative to Random Embeddings





Method

Pushing Performance on PPI

- PPI: biological networks of protein interactions, O(50k) nodes
 - Huge gap between self-supervised and fully supervised
 - Graph Attentional encoders





Unlocking performance on 1000x larger dataset

- KDD Cup 2021: OGB-LSC challenge, dataset with 240M nodes / 1B edges
- BGRL was key to DeepMind team awarded as Top-3
- BGRL works even with:
 - 1000x larger data
 - Expressive MPNNs
 - Mixing with supervised signals



Conclusions

- Main takeaways:
 - BGRL competitive with contrastive methods without negative examples
 - Huge wins in memory and performance in some cases
 - Likely to be more easily applied to larger graphs without design choices

- Future directions:
 - Naturally extends to learning graph-level embeddings
 - Experimenting with stronger encoder architectures
 - Research into stronger graph-based augmentations



DeepMind

Thank You!

... Questions?